

AC21007: Haskell Lecture 6 Tail Recursion, Algebraic Data Types, Type Classes

František Farka



Recapitulation



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Sorting algorithms

- Selection Sort
- Insertion Sort
- Bubble Sort

Tail recursion

- A recursive function is tail recursive iff the final result of the recursive call is the final result of the function itself
- ▶ I.e. the outermost function applied in an RHS expression_INDEE

Tail recursion

- A recursive function is tail recursive iff the final result of the recursive call is the final result of the function itself
- ▶ I.e. the outermost function applied in an RHS expression_INDEE

Non-tail recursive sum:

Tail recursion

- A recursive function is tail recursive iff the final result of the recursive call is the final result of the function itself
- ▶ I.e. the outermost function applied in an RHS expression_INDEE
- Non-tail recursive sum:

 $\begin{array}{rll} sum & :: & [\mbox{ Int }] & -> & \mbox{ Int } \\ sum & [] & = & 0 \\ sum & (x:xs) & = & x + (sum & xs) \end{array}$

A tail-recursive version - we use an additional accumulator acc:

sum :: $[Int] \rightarrow Int$ sum xs = sumAux xs 0

Tail recursion - Fibonacci numbers

Fibonacci numbers:
$$F_n = \begin{cases} = 0 & n = 0 \\ = 1 & n = 1 \\ = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \ldots$

Tail recursion - Fibonacci numbers

Fibonacci numbers:
$$F_n = \begin{cases} = 0 & n = 0 \\ = 1 & n = 1 \\ = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \ldots$

Haskell implementation is straightforward:

fib :: Integer
$$\rightarrow$$
 Integer
fib 0 = 0
fib 1 = 1
fib n = fib (n - 1) + fib (n - 2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Tail recursion - Fibonacci numbers

Fibonacci numbers:
$$F_n = \begin{cases} = 0 & n = 0 \\ = 1 & n = 1 \\ = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \ldots$

Haskell implementation is straightforward:

fib :: Integer
$$\rightarrow$$
 Integer
fib 0 = 0
fib 1 = 1
fib n = fib (n - 1) + fib (n - 2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Can we turn this into a tail-recursive function?

Observation: recursive step performs two recursive calls

- Observation: recursive step performs two recursive calls
- ... in sum it performs one r.c. and uses one acc ...



- Observation: recursive step performs two recursive calls
- ... in sum it performs one r.c. and uses one acc ...
- ... we are going to use two intermediate values!



(日)、(四)、(E)、(E)、(E)

- Observation: recursive step performs two recursive calls
- ... in sum it performs one r.c. and uses one acc ...
- ... we are going to use two intermediate values!
- An implementation:

fibHelper :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int fibHelper 0 val1 val2 = val1 fibHelper 1 val1 val2 = val2 fibHelper n val1 val2 = fibHelper (n - 1) val2 (val1 + val2)

fib :: Int
$$\rightarrow$$
 Int
fib n = fibHelper n 0

・ロット 本語 と 本語 と 本語 や キロ と

Tail recursion and folds



- We already saw folds "schemes" of recursive functions
- We know that e.g. sum can be expressed as a fold:

```
sum :: [Int] \rightarrow Int
sum xs = foldI (+) 0 xs
```

or

Is either of these tail-recursive?

Tail recursion and folds (cont.)

. . .



recall recursive steps of foldr and foldl:

foldr f z (x:xs) = f x (foldr f z)



Tail recursion and folds (cont.)

. . .



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

recall recursive steps of foldr and foldl:

foldr f z
$$(x:xs) = f x (foldr f z)$$

foldr is not tail-recursive but foldl is tail recursive!

Algebraic Data Types

UNIVERSITY ON

We define our own data types by stating data type name and it's constructors – both identifiers types and constructors begin with an uppercase letter

Algebraic Data Types

 We define our own data types by stating data type name and it's constructors – both identifiers types and constructors begin with an uppercase letter

We already know Bool:

data Bool = False | True

Bool is a type with two constructors: True and False.

Algebraic Data Types

 We define our own data types by stating data type name and it's constructors – both identifiers types and constructors begin with an uppercase letter

We already know Bool:

data Bool = False | True

Bool is a type with two constructors: True and False.

Similarly we can define e.g.:

data Suits = Spades | Hearts | Diamonds | Clubs



 \blacktriangleright We also saw tuples and a constructor (,) – e.g.:

```
(1, 'c') :: (Int, Char)
```





 \blacktriangleright We also saw tuples and a constructor (,) – e.g.:

(1, 'c') :: (**Int**, **Char**)

Constructors may contain *fields* of certain type, e.g.:

data MyPair = MyPair Int Char

Note that the name of a type and a name of it's constructor can be the same. A value of type MyPair:

myPairVal :: MyPair myPairVal = MyPair 1 'c'



 \blacktriangleright We also saw tuples and a constructor (,) – e.g.:

(1, 'c') :: (**Int**, **Char**)

Constructors may contain *fields* of certain type, e.g.:

data MyPair = MyPair Int Char

Note that the name of a type and a name of it's constructor can be the same. A value of type MyPair:

myPairVal :: MyPair myPairVal = MyPair 1 'c'

 Values of algebraic data types are constructed in the same way as values of lists and tuples.



We can also pattern-match on data type values in function definitions and let-bindings in the very same way as with lists and tuples:



We can also pattern-match on data type values in function definitions and let-bindings in the very same way as with lists and tuples:

 ... but tuple type is more general – (a, b) for any types a and b

Data types may be polymorphic in fields of constructors,

data Pair a b = Pair a b

we can specify type variables after the name of type and use them as types of constructor fields.

► We call these data types Algebraic Data Types (ADT's)

Data types may be polymorphic in fields of constructors,

data Pair a b = Pair a b

we can specify type variables after the name of type and use them as types of constructor fields.

And we can combine all of the above:

data CrazyType a b c = NoParamCtor | MonoMorphicCtor1 Int | MonoCtor2 String [Char] Bool | MonoCtor3 MyPair | PolyCtor1 a b | PolyCtor2 a Int | PolyCtor3 (Pair c Int) | PolyCtor4 (c -> a, Int)

► We call these data types Algebraic Data Types (ADT's)

Some old ADT's ...

The list type is just an ordinary type, the only special thing is syntactic sugar for "[]" and "(:)":

data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)^{UNDEE}

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

length ' :: List a \rightarrow Int length ' Nil = 0 length ' (Cons _ xs) = 1 + length ' xs

Some old ADT's ...

The list type is just an ordinary type, the only special thing is syntactic sugar for "[]" and "(:)":

data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a) UNDEE

length ' :: List a \rightarrow Int length ' Nil = 0 length ' (Cons _ xs) = 1 + length ' xs

And the same for tuples, as we already saw:

data Pair a b = Pair a b

fst ' :: Pair a b \rightarrow a fst ' (Pair x $_{-}$) = x

snd' :: Pair a b \rightarrow b snd' (Pair $_{-}$ y) = y

... and some new

Sometimes, we need an extra value:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a DU

... and some new

Sometimes, we need an extra value:



data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a DU

ADT representing binary trees (values are only in leafs):

```
myTree :: BinTree Char
myTree = Node (Leaf 'a') (Leaf 'b')
```



So far we saw monomorphic functions, e.g. neg, and, and polymorphic functions, e.g. fst, head.

- So far we saw monomorphic functions, e.g. neg, and, and polymorphic functions, e.g. fst, head.
- What if we want a function, that is polymorphic only for some types (ad-hoc polymorphism), e.g. sort for Int, Integer, and Float?

sort :: [a] -> [a] sort = ...

We need to constrain type variable *a* to types, that can be ordered.

- So far we saw monomorphic functions, e.g. neg, and, and polymorphic functions, e.g. fst, head.
- What if we want a function, that is polymorphic only for some types (ad-hoc polymorphism), e.g. sort for Int, Integer, and Float?

```
sort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
sort = ... {- (<=) for a-values -}</pre>
```

We need to constrain type variable *a* to types, that can be ordered.

- So far we saw monomorphic functions, e.g. neg, and, and polymorphic functions, e.g. fst, head.
- What if we want a function, that is polymorphic only for some types (ad-hoc polymorphism), e.g. sort for Int, Integer, and Float?

sort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
sort = ... {- (<=) for a-values -}</pre>

We need to constrain type variable *a* to types, that can be ordered.

Ord a is a type class constraint.

We can define a *class* of types and specify which functions (called class *methods*) are available for types of this class (i.e. EE type class behaves as an interface), e.g.:

class Ord a where (<=) :: a -> a -> Bool

We can define a *class* of types and specify which functions (called class *methods*) are available for types of this class (i.e. EE type class behaves as an interface), e.g.:

class Ord a where (<=) :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool

We can specify, that a type is and *instance* of a class – we provide an implementation of class functions for this type:

> instance Ord Int where $x \le y = primitiveIntComparison x y$

instance Ord Float where x <= y = primitiveFloatComparison x y</pre>

Instance definitions can itself be constrained and do recursively compose. Recall our ADT List:

> instance Ord a => Ord (List a) where Nil <= _ = True (List x xs) <= (List y ys) = if (x <= y) then if (x == y) then xs <= ys else True else False

Instance definitions can itself be constrained and do recursively compose. Recall our ADT List:

> instance Ord a => Ord (List a) where Nil <= _ = True (List x xs) <= (List y ys) = if (x <= y) then if (x == y) then xs <= ys else True else False

And there is a similar instance for [a]

Instance definitions can itself be constrained and do recursively compose. Recall our ADT List:

> instance Ord a => Ord (List a) where Nil <= _ = True (List x xs) <= (List y ys) = if (x <= y) then if (x == y) then xs <= ys else True else False

- And there is a similar instance for [a]
- That means that if we provide instance Ord OurData we get Ord [OurData] for free.



Some standard Haskell type classes:

- Eq a types with equality, (==)
- Ord a ordered types, (<), (<=)</p>
- Show a types that can be pretty printed using show
- Num a numeric types (+), (-), (*), abs, signum
- And many more . . .



Some standard Haskell type classes:

- Eq a types with equality, (==)
- Ord a ordered types, (<), (<=)</p>
- Show a types that can be pretty printed using show
- Num a numeric types (+), (-), (*), abs, signum
- And many more . . .
- Now we can fully understand type of e.g. (+):

```
GHCi, version 7.10.3:
Prelude> :t (+)
```

(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a

Strong Static Typing

- So far, we always provided a type of top-level definitions (functions)
- ▶ ... we did not provide a type of functions in e.g. where blocks

Strong Static Typing

- So far, we always provided a type of top-level definitions (functions)
- ▶ ... we did not provide a type of functions in e.g. where blocks
- Compiler *infers* the **most generic** type of any expression automatically and in fact we do not need to provide even types of top level definitions, e.g. for

-- mySum :: ??? mySum = foldr (+) 0 xs

compiler infers the following type:

```
GHCi, version 7.10.3:
Prelude> :t mySum
mySum :: (Num b, Foldable t) => t b -> b
```

Strong Static Typing

- So far, we always provided a type of top-level definitions (functions)
- ▶ ... we did not provide a type of functions in e.g. where blocks
- Compiler *infers* the **most generic** type of any expression automatically and in fact we do not need to provide even types of top level definitions, e.g. for

-- mySum :: ??? mySum = foldr (+) 0 xs

compiler infers the following type:

```
GHCi, version 7.10.3:
Prelude> :t mySum
mySum :: (Num b, Foldable t) => t b -> b
```

 Best Practice: Do provide top-level types – types document functions, and help compiler produce simpler error messages

Next time



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- Monday the the 22th of February, 2-3PM, Dalhousie 3G05 LT2
- More sorting algorithms
 - Quick Sort
 - Merge Sort
- IO in Haskell (Monads)