

Proof-Relevant Resolution for Elaboration of Programming Languages

František Farka

Heriot-Watt University, and
University of St Andrews

ICLP DC, Oxford, July 17, 2018

Proof-Relevant Resolution for Elaboration of Programming Languages

$$\kappa : A \leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_n \in P \quad \frac{P \vdash e_1 : \sigma B_1 \quad \dots \quad P \vdash e_n : \sigma B_n}{P \vdash \kappa e_1 \dots e_n : \sigma A}$$

proof-relevant
HC

{ reasoning about semantics }

```
data OddList a    = OCons a (EvenList a)
data EvenList a   = Nil | ECons a (OddList a)

instance Eq a, Eq (OddList a) => Eq (EvenList a)
eq (OCons a as) (OCons b bs) = eq a b && eq as bs
instance Eq a, Eq (EvenList a)
```

...

{ transforming syntax }

```
data maybe_A (a : A) : Bool → type where
  nothing      : maybe_A ff
  just         : A → maybe_A tt

fromJust : maybe_A tt → A
fromJust (just x) = x
```

Motivation

- ▶ 90's - Haskell type classes introduced
(Wadler and Blott; 1989), (Hall *et al.*; 1996)
- ▶ Attracted attention of ATP community for its connection with LP
- ▶ 2003 - Lämmel *et al.*- extension to non-terminating resolution
(Lämmel and Peyton Jones; 2005)
- ▶ 2016 - Fu *et al.* study of properties of type class resolution
(TCR) from type-theoretic perspective
(Fu and Komendantskaya; 2016), (Fu *et al.*; 2016)

Problem by an example

Example (Equality on Pairs)

```
data Pair a b = Pair a b

class Eq a where
  eq :: a → a → Bool

instance (Eq a, Eq b) ⇒ Eq (Pair a b) where
  eq (Pair x1 y1) (Pair x2 y2) = eq x1 x2 && eq y1 y2
instance Eq Int where
  eq x y = primitiveIntEq x y

member :: Eq a ⇒ a → List a → Bool
member = ...
```

Example (Φ_{Pair})

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(y) &\Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{pair}(x, y)) \\ \kappa_2 : &\qquad\qquad\qquad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})\end{aligned}$$

Problem by an example

Example (Equality on Pairs)

```
data Pair a b = Pair a b

class Eq a where
  eq :: a → a → Bool

instance (Eq a, Eq b) ⇒ Eq (Pair a b) where
  eq (Pair x1 y1) (Pair x2 y2) = eq x1 x2 && eq y1 y2
instance Eq Int where
  eq x y = primitiveIntEq x y

member :: Eq a ⇒ a → List a → Bool
member = ...
```

Example (Φ_{Pair})

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(y) &\Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{pair}(x, y)) \\ \kappa_2 : &\qquad\qquad\qquad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{eq}(\text{pair}(\text{int}, \text{int})) \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} \text{eq}(\text{int}), \text{eq}(\text{int}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} \text{eq}(\text{int}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} \emptyset$$

Type class resolution

Definition (Type class resolution)

$$\text{if } (e : B_1, \dots, B_n \Rightarrow A) \in \Phi - \frac{\Phi \vdash e_1 : \sigma B_1 \quad \dots \quad \Phi \vdash e_n : \sigma B_n}{\Phi \vdash e \ e_1 \dots e_n : \sigma A} \quad (\text{LP-M})$$

$$\text{if HNF}(e) - \frac{\Phi, (\alpha : B_1, \dots, B_n \Rightarrow A) \vdash e : B_1, \dots, B_n \Rightarrow A}{\Phi \vdash \nu \alpha. e : B_1, \dots, B_n \Rightarrow A} \quad (\text{NU})$$

$$\frac{\Phi, (\beta_1 : \Rightarrow B_1), \dots, (\beta_n : \Rightarrow B_n) \vdash e : A}{\Phi \vdash \lambda \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n. e : B_1, \dots, B_n \Rightarrow A} \quad (\text{LAM})$$

Type Class Resolution - by LP-M

Example

$$\kappa_1 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(y) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{pair}(x, y))$$

$$\kappa_2 : \qquad \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})$$

$$\frac{\Phi_{Pair} \vdash \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(\text{int})}{\Phi_{Pair} \vdash \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(\text{pair}(\text{int}, \text{int}))} \text{LP-M}$$

Type Class Resolution - by LP-M

Example

$$\begin{array}{c} \kappa_1 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(y) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{pair}(x, y)) \\ \kappa_2 : \qquad \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int}) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Phi_{Pair} \vdash \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(\text{int})}{\Phi_{Pair} \vdash \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(\text{pair}(\text{int}, \text{int}))} \text{LP-M} \quad \frac{\Phi_{Pair} \vdash \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(\text{int})}{\Phi_{Pair} \vdash \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(\text{int})} \text{LP-M}$$

Theorem

Let Φ be an axiom environment for a logic program P , and let $\Phi \vdash e : A$ by the LP-M rule. Then $P \models_{ind} A$.

Data types *EvenList* and *OddList*

```

data OddList a    = OCons a (EvenList a)
data EvenList a   = Nil | ECons a (OddList a)

instance Eq a, Eq (OddList a) => Eq (EvenList a)
  eq (OCons a as) (OCons b bs)      = eq a b && eq as bs

instance Eq a, Eq (EvenList a) => Eq (OddList a)
  ...

```

Example ($\Phi_{EvenOdd}$)

Data types *EvenList* and *OddList*

```
data OddList a    =  OCons a (EvenList a)
data EvenList a   =  Nil | ECons a (OddList a)

instance Eq a, Eq (OddList a) ⇒ Eq (EvenList a)
eq (OCons a as) (OCons b bs)      = eq a b && eq as bs

instance Eq a, Eq (EvenList a) ⇒ Eq (OddList a)
...
```

Example ($\Phi_{EvenOdd}$)

$$\kappa_1 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(x)) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(x))$$

$$\kappa_2 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(x)) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(x))$$

$$\kappa_3 : \qquad \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})$$

$$\underline{\text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int})) \rightarrow_{\kappa_2} \text{eq}(\text{int}), \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(\text{int})) \rightarrow_{\kappa_3}}$$

$$\text{eq}(\text{oddList}(\text{int})) \rightarrow_{\kappa_1} \text{eq}(\text{int}), \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int})) \rightarrow_{\kappa_3}$$

$$\underline{\text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int})) \rightarrow_{\kappa_2} \dots}$$

Type Class Resolution - by LP-M and NU

$\Phi_{EvenOdd}$

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(x)) &\Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(x)) \\ \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(x)) &\Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(x)) \\ \kappa_3 : &\qquad\qquad\qquad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})\end{aligned}$$

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\kappa_3 : \text{eq}(\text{int})}{\vdash \kappa_3 : \text{eq}(\text{int})} \qquad \frac{\frac{\alpha : \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))}{\vdash \alpha : \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))}}{\vdash \alpha : \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))}}{\Phi_{EvenOdd}, \alpha : _ \vdash \kappa_1 \kappa_3 \alpha : \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(\text{int}))}}{\Phi_{EvenOdd}, \alpha : _ \vdash \kappa_2 \kappa_3 (\kappa_1 \kappa_3 \alpha) : \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))} \text{ NU}$$

Type Class Resolution - by LP-M and NU

$\Phi_{EvenOdd}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \kappa_1 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(x)) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(x)) \\ \kappa_2 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(x)) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(x)) \\ \kappa_3 : \qquad \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int}) \end{array}$$

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\kappa_3 : \text{eq}(\text{int})}{\vdash \kappa_3 : \text{eq}(\text{int})} \quad \frac{\frac{\alpha : \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))}{\vdash \alpha : \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))}}{\vdash \alpha : \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))}}{\Phi_{EvenOdd}, \alpha : _ \vdash \kappa_1 \kappa_3 \alpha : \text{eq}(\text{oddList}(\text{int}))}}{\Phi_{EvenOdd}, \alpha : _ \vdash \kappa_2 \kappa_3 (\kappa_1 \kappa_3 \alpha) : \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))} \text{ NU}$$
$$\Phi_{EvenOdd} \vdash \nu \alpha. \kappa_2 \kappa_3 (\kappa_1 \kappa_3 \alpha) : \text{eq}(\text{evenList}(\text{int}))$$

Theorem

Let Φ be an axiom environment for a logic program P , and let F be an atomic formula. If $\Phi \vdash e : F$ by the LP-M and NU rules, then $P \models_{coind} F$.

Data type *Bush*

```
data Bush a = Nil | Cons a (Bush (Bush a))  
instance Eq a, Eq (Bush (Bush a)) ⇒ Eq (Bush a)  
...
```

Example (Φ_{Bush})

$$\kappa_1 : \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})$$

$$\kappa_2 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{bush}(\text{bush}(x))) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{bush}(x))$$

Data type *Bush*

```
data Bush a = Nil | Cons a (Bush (Bush a))  
instance Eq a, Eq (Bush (Bush a)) ⇒ Eq (Bush a)  
...
```

Example (Φ_{Bush})

$$\kappa_1 : \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})$$

$$\kappa_2 : \text{eq}(x), \text{eq}(\text{bush}(\text{bush}(x))) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{bush}(x))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{eq}(\text{bush}(\text{int})) &\rightarrow_{\kappa_2} \text{eq}(\text{int}), \text{eq}(\text{bush}(\text{bush}(\text{int}))) \rightarrow_{\kappa_1} \dots \rightarrow_{\kappa_2} \\ &\quad \text{eq}(\text{bush}(\text{int})), \text{eq}(\text{bush}(\text{bush}(\text{bush}(\text{int})))) \rightarrow_{\kappa_1} \dots\end{aligned}$$

Type class resolution - by LP-M, NU, and LAM

Φ_{Bush}

$$\kappa_1 : \quad \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{int})$$

$$\kappa_2 : \text{eq}(x), \text{ eq}(\text{bush}(\text{bush}(x))) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{bush}(x))$$

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\Phi_{Bush} \vdash}{\kappa_1 : \text{eq}(\text{int})}}{\Phi_{Bush}, (\alpha : \text{eq}(x) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{bush}(x))), (\beta : \Rightarrow \text{eq}(x)) \vdash}{\vdots}}{\kappa_2 \beta(\alpha(\alpha\beta)) : \text{eq}(\text{bush}(x))}}{\Phi_{Bush}, (\alpha : _) \vdash \lambda\beta.\kappa_2 \beta(\alpha(\alpha\beta)) : \text{eq}(x) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{bush}(x))} \text{ LAM}}{\Phi_{Bush} \vdash \nu\alpha.\lambda\beta.\kappa_2 \beta(\alpha(\alpha\beta)) : \text{eq}(x) \Rightarrow \text{eq}(\text{bush}(x))} \text{ NU}$$
$$\Phi_{Bush} \vdash (\nu\alpha.\lambda\beta.\kappa_2 \beta(\alpha(\alpha\beta)))\kappa_1 : \text{eq}(\text{bush}(\text{int}))$$

Soundness of TCR

Theorem

Let Φ be an axiom environment for a logic program P , and let be $\Phi \vdash e : F$ for a formula F by the LP-M, LAM, and NU rules. Then $P \models_{coind} F$.

Soundness of TCR

Theorem

Let Φ be an axiom environment for a logic program P , and let be $\Phi \vdash e : F$ for a formula F by the LP-M, LAM, and NU rules. Then $P \models_{coind} F$.

Example

Consider $\Phi_A = \{\kappa : A \Rightarrow A\}$.

Then $\Phi_A \vdash \nu\alpha.\kappa\alpha : A$ but $P_A \not\models_{ind} A$.

Soundness of TCR

Theorem

Let Φ be an axiom environment for a logic program P , and let be $\Phi \vdash e : F$ for a formula F by the LP-M, LAM, and NU rules. Then $P \models_{coind} F$.

Example

Consider $\Phi_A = \{\kappa : A \Rightarrow A\}$.

Then $\Phi_A \vdash \nu\alpha.\kappa\alpha : A$ but $P_A \not\models_{ind} A$.

TCR is not inductively sound

Conclusion

Conclusion

- ▶ We provide a uniform analysis of soundness of type class resolution
- ▶ We establish coinductive soundness of corecursive type class resolution
- ▶ We demonstrate that corecursive type class resolution is not sound inductively

Conclusion

Conclusion

- ▶ We provide a uniform analysis of soundness of type class resolution
- ▶ We establish coinductive soundness of corecursive type class resolution
- ▶ We demonstrate that corecursive type class resolution is not sound inductively

Thank you. Questions?